

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application are respectfully solicited.

Claims 11, 13 through 16, 18 through 21, and 23 through 27 are pending, with Claims 11, 16, 21, 26, and 27 being independent. Claims 11, 16, 21, 26, and 27 have been amended.

Claims 11, 13 through 16, 18 through 21, and 23 through 25 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) over newly-cited US 6,469,737 B1 (Igarashi, et al.). All rejections are respectfully traversed.

Claims 11, 16, and 21 variously recite, *inter alia*, determining a time point where the moving picture data is to be divided, based on information about the kind of the control of the camera.

However, Applicants respectfully submit that Igarashi, et al. fails to disclose or suggest at least the above-discussed claimed features as recited, *inter alia*, in Claims 11, 16, and 21.

Applicants respectfully submit that Igarashi, et al. discloses, e.g., that the *external device* 1002 determines the image sensing times such as 13:15, 13:30, and 13:45, and outputs (to the camera control apparatus) a reservation command for the image sensing times, e.g.:

```
<img src = "http://www.foo.co.jp/R1996.7.6.13.15P25T0Z3 +  
R1996.7.6.13.30P25T0Z3+R1996.7.6.13.45P25T0Z3.gif">
```

(e.g., col. 9, lines 1-5), and that such does not constitute a disclosure or suggestion of at least the above-discussed claimed features which require, *inter alia*, basing division on information about the kind of the control of the camera.

Claims 26 and 27 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over US 6,208,379 B1 (Oya, et al.) in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,986,695 (Choi), both newly-cited. All rejections are respectfully traversed.

Claims 26 and 27 recite, *inter alia*, determining a time point where the moving picture data is to be divided, based on information indicating that the display of the moving picture data is prohibited.

However, Applicants respectfully submit that neither Oya, et al. nor Choi, even in the proposed combination, assuming, *arguendo*, that such could be combined, discloses or suggests at least the above-discussed claimed features as recited, *inter alia*, in Claims 26 and 27.

Applicants respectfully submit that Oya, et al. discloses, e.g., a display non-permitted area 192, while Choi discloses, e.g., that the part recorded, during a period of time in which it is judged that no change occurred at the security zone, can be overwritten (e.g., col. 6); however, even if Oya, et al. and Choi could be combined, *arguendo*, the combination would fail to disclose or suggest at least the above-discussed claimed features which require, *inter alia*, dividing based on information indicating that the display of the moving picture data is prohibited.

Applicants also respectfully submit that there has been no showing of any indication of motivation in the cited documents that would lead one having ordinary skill in the art to arrive at the above-discussed claimed features as recited, *inter alia*, in Claims 11, 16, 21, 26, and 27.

The dependent claims are also submitted to be patentable because they set forth additional aspects of the present invention and are dependent from independent claims discussed above. Therefore, separate and individual consideration of each dependent claim is respectfully requested.

Applicants submit that this application is in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C. office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should to be directed to our below listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

/Daniel S. Glueck/
Daniel S. Glueck
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 37,838

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3800
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200
DSG/jjr